Supplementary Document: Real-time Rendering of Layered Materials with Anisotropic Normal Distributions

Tomoya Yamaguchi Waseda University tomoya.tomoya@akane.waseda.jp

Yusuke Tokuyoshi SQUARE ENIX CO., LTD. (now at Intel Corporation) yusuke.tokuyoshi@gmail.com

A ADDITIONAL FORMULAS

A.1 Derivation of Jacobian Matrices

To derive Jacobian matrices, we partly followed the derivation by Stam [2001]. Different from his derivation, we derived an approximate solution for the Jacobian matrices over the region near to the origin of \mathcal{P} , while Stam derived the exact solution only at the origin. Without loss of generality, we can assume incident direction ω_i as $(\theta_i, 0)$. Let ω_r and ω_t be directions for reflection and refraction, respectively. We denote the directions $\omega_i, \omega_r, \omega_t$, and **h** as follows:

$$\begin{split} \omega_i &= (\sin \theta_i, 0, \cos \theta_i), \\ \omega_r &= (x_r, y_r, z_r), \\ \omega_t &= (x_t, y_t, z_t), \\ \mathbf{h} &= (x_h, y_h, z_h). \end{split}$$

Let η be a relative refractive index between two interfaces, we can write ω_r and ω_t as follows:

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\omega}_r &= 2(\boldsymbol{\omega}_i \cdot \mathbf{h})\mathbf{h} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_i, \\ \eta \boldsymbol{\omega}_t &= \left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_i \cdot \mathbf{h} - \sqrt{(\boldsymbol{\omega}_i \cdot \mathbf{h})^2 + \eta^2 - 1}\right)\mathbf{h} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_i \end{split}$$

Using these equations, we can obtain the projected 2D coordinates (x_r, y_r) and (x_t, y_t) of ω_r and ω_t :

$$\begin{cases} x_r = 2Ax_h - \sin \theta_i \\ y_r = 2Ay_h \end{cases}$$
$$\begin{cases} \eta x_t = \left(A - \sqrt{A^2 + \eta^2 - 1}\right) x_h - \sin \theta_i \\ \eta y_t = \left(A - \sqrt{A^2 + \eta^2 - 1}\right) y_h \end{cases}$$
where $A = x_h \sin \theta_i + \cos \theta_i \sqrt{1 - x_h^2 - y_h^2}$

Therefore, for reflection, the Jacobian matrix is obtained as in the main body of the paper. For refraction, the Jacobian matrix is calculated as follows:

$$\mathbf{J}_{t} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial x_{t}}{\partial x_{h}} & \frac{\partial x_{t}}{\partial y_{h}} \\ \frac{\partial y_{t}}{\partial x_{h}} & \frac{\partial y_{t}}{\partial y_{h}} \end{bmatrix},$$

Tatsuya Yatagawa The University of Tokyo tatsy@den.t.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Shigeo Morishima Waseda University shigeo@waseda.jp

$$\begin{split} \eta \frac{\partial x_t}{\partial x_h} &= A - \sqrt{A^2 + \eta^2 - 1} + x_h \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_h} \left(1 - \frac{2A}{\sqrt{A^2 + \eta^2 - 1}} \right) \\ \eta \frac{\partial x_t}{\partial y_h} &= x_h \frac{\partial A}{\partial y_h} \left(1 - \frac{2A}{\sqrt{A^2 + \eta^2 - 1}} \right) \\ \eta \frac{\partial y_t}{\partial x_h} &= y_h \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_h} \left(1 - \frac{2A}{\sqrt{A^2 + \eta^2 - 1}} \right) \\ \eta \frac{\partial y_t}{\partial y_h} &= A - \sqrt{A^2 + \eta^2 - 1} + y_h \frac{\partial A}{\partial y_h} \left(1 - \frac{2A}{\sqrt{A^2 + \eta^2 - 1}} \right) \\ \text{where} \begin{cases} \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_h} &= \sin \theta_i - \frac{x_h \cos \theta_i}{\sqrt{1 - x_h^2 - y_h^2}}, \\ \frac{\partial A}{\partial y_h} &= -\frac{y_h \cos \theta_i}{\sqrt{1 - x_h^2 - y_h^2}} \end{cases}$$

As we wrote in the main body of the paper, we assume x_h , y_h , and θ_i are small enough that we can ignore the second- and higher-order terms of x_h , y_h , and $\sin \theta_i$. Then, we can approximate J_t as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_t &\approx \frac{1}{\eta} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_i - \sqrt{\cos^2 \theta_i + \eta^2 - 1} & \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & \cos \theta_i - \sqrt{\cos^2 \theta_i + \eta^2 - 1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\eta} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_i - \eta \cos \theta_t & \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & \cos \theta_i - \eta \cos \theta_t \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the Jacobian matrix for refraction is also diagonal and its diagonal entries are the same.

A.2 Adding-Doubling for Two-layer Materials

For two-layer materials, Belcour [2018] provided the result of the adding-doubling method in Section 5 of his paper. To extend their formulas using our result for anisotropic distribution is easy. By replacing the scalar variances $\sigma_{ij}^{\{T,R\}}$ with covariance matrices $\Sigma_{ij}^{\{T,R\}}$. The series of interactions that are possible in two-layer materials are only *R* and *TR*⁺*T*. The atomic operators for *R* are given by

$$e^{R} = r_{12},$$
$$\mu^{R} = -\mu_{i},$$
$$\Sigma^{R} = r_{12}\Sigma^{R}_{12}$$

For TR^+T , the atomic operators are obtained as follows:

$$e^{TR^{+}T} = \frac{t_{12}r_{23}t_{12}}{1 - r_{23}r_{12}},$$
$$\mu^{TR^{+}T} = -\mu_{i},$$
$$\Sigma^{TR^{+}T} = \frac{t_{12}r_{23}t_{12}}{1 - r_{23}r_{12}} \left[\Sigma_{12}^{T} + \Sigma_{21}^{T} + K_{21} \left(\Sigma_{23}^{R} + \frac{r_{23}r_{21}}{1 - r_{23}r_{21}} \Sigma_{21}^{R} \right) \right].$$

In these formulas, r_{jk} and t_{jk} denote reflection and transmission coefficients between *j*-th and *k*-th interfaces, and K_{jk} is a transmission scaling factor which scales the roughness parameters. As explained in the main body of the paper, $\Sigma_{12}^{\{R,T\}}$ can be obtained as follows:

$$\Sigma_{12}^{\{R,T\}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{t}_{x} & \mathbf{t}_{y} \end{bmatrix}^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{12,x}^{\{R,T\}} & \mathbf{0} \\ \sigma_{12,y}^{\{R,T\}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{t}_{x} & \mathbf{t}_{y} \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\sigma_{12,\{x,y\}}^{R} = h\left(\alpha_{\{x,y\}}\right), \quad \sigma_{12,\{x,y\}}^{T} = h\left(s \times \alpha_{\{x,y\}}\right).$$

REFERENCES

- L. Belcour. 2018. Efficient rendering of layered materials using an atomic decomposition with statistical operators. *ACM Trans. Graph.* 37, 4, Article 73 (2018), 15 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/3197517.3201289
- J. Stam. 2001. An illumination model for a skin layer bounded by rough surfaces. In Eurographics Workshop on Rendering. 39–52. https://doi.org/10.2312/EGWR/ EGWR01/039-052

(Appendix B starts from the next page)

Supplementary Document: Real-time Rendering of Layered Materials with Anisotropic Normal Distributions

B ADDITIONAL RESULTS

B.1 Results for varying roughness parameters



, ,

Figure 1: Rendering results with varying roughness parameters on the bottom layer ranging from 0.01 to 0.5. The roughness parameters of the top layer are fixed at(α_x, α_y) = (0.01, 0.01)



Figure 2: Rendering results with varying roughness parameters on the bottom layer ranging from 0.01 to 0.5. The roughness parameters of the top layer are fixed at $(\alpha_x, \alpha_y) = (0.05, 0.01)$.

B.2 Results for varying rotation of local coordinate system



Figure 3: Rendering results with varying roughness parameters on the bottom layer ranging from 0.01 to 0.5. The roughness parameters of the top layer are fixed at $(\alpha_x, \alpha_y) = (0.1, 0.01)$.



, ,

Figure 4: Rendering results with varying roughness parameters on the bottom layer ranging from 0.01 to 0.5. The roughness parameters of the top layer are fixed at $(\alpha_x, \alpha_y) = (0.2, 0.01)$.



Figure 5: Rendering results with varying roughness parameters on the bottom layer ranging from 0.01 to 0.5. The roughness parameters of the top layer are fixed at $(\alpha_x, \alpha_y) = (0.5, 0.01)$.



, ,

Figure 6: Rendering results for rotated local coordinate systems for the *bottom* layer, while the local coordinate system of the top layer is fixed.



Figure 7: Rendering results for rotated local coordinate systems for the *top* layer, while the local coordinate system of the bottom layer is fixed.